

(*Working Group Report*) and its appendices, which are incorporated by reference pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. *Except that:* Section 7.10 of Appendix D of the *Working Group Report* is *not* incorporated herein.

(b) In addition to the requirements set forth in the *Working Group Report*, the following requirements are established:

(1) If a telecommunications carrier transmits a telephone call to a local exchange carrier's switch that contains any ported numbers, and the telecommunications carrier has failed to perform a database query to determine if the telephone number has been ported to another local exchange carrier, the local exchange carrier may block the unqueried call only if performing the database query is likely to impair network reliability;

(2) The regional limited liability companies (LLCs), already established by telecommunications carriers in each of the original Bell Operating Company regions, shall manage and oversee the local number portability administrators, subject to review by the NANC, but only on an interim basis, until the conclusion of a rule-making to examine the issue of local number portability administrator oversight and management and the question of whether the LLCs should continue to act in this capacity; and

(3) The NANC shall provide ongoing oversight of number portability administration, including oversight of the regional LLCs, subject to Commission review. Parties shall attempt to resolve issues regarding number portability deployment among themselves and, if necessary, under the auspices of the NANC. If any party objects to the NANC's proposed resolution, the NANC shall issue a written report summarizing the positions of the parties and the basis for the recommendation adopted by the NANC. The NANC Chair shall submit its proposed resolution of the disputed issue to the Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau as a recommendation for Commission review. The Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau will place the NANC's proposed resolution on public notice. Recommendations adopted by the NANC and forwarded to the Bureau may be implemented by the

parties pending review of the recommendation. Within 90 days of the conclusion of the comment cycle, the Chief of the Common Carrier Bureau may issue an order adopting, modifying, or rejecting the recommendation. If the Chief does not act within 90 days of the conclusion of the comment cycle, the recommendation will be deemed to have been adopted by the Bureau.

(c) The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies of the *Working Group Report* and its appendices can be obtained from the Commission's contract copier, International Transcription Service, Inc., 1231 20th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, and can be inspected during normal business hours at the following locations; 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239 (FCC Reference Center), Washington, D.C. 20554 or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 700, Washington, D.C. The *Working Group Report* and its appendices are also available in the Internet at <http://www.fcc.gov/ccb/Nanc/>.

[62 FR 48786, Sept. 17, 1997]

EFFECTIVE DATE NOTE: At 62 FR 48786, Sept. 17, 1997. § 52.26 was added, effective Oct. 17, 1997.

§ 52.27 Deployment of transitional measures for number portability.

All LECs shall provide transitional measures, which may consist of Remote Call Forwarding (RCF), Flexible Direct Inward Dialing (DID), or any other comparable and technically feasible method, as soon as reasonably possible upon receipt of a specific request from another telecommunications carrier, until such time as the LEC implements a long-term database method for number portability in that area.

§ 52.29 Cost recovery for transitional measures for number portability.

Any cost recovery mechanism for the provision of number portability pursuant to § 52.7(a), that is adopted by a state commission must not:

(a) Give one telecommunications carrier an appreciable, incremental cost

advantage over another telecommunications carrier, when competing for a specific subscriber (*i.e.*, the recovery mechanism may not have a disparate effect on the incremental costs of competing carriers seeking to serve the same customer); or

(b) Have a disparate effect on the ability of competing telecommunications carriers to earn a normal return on their investment.

§ 52.31 Deployment of long-term database methods for number portability by CMRS providers.

(a) By June 30, 1999, all cellular, broadband PCS, and covered SMR providers must provide a long-term database method for number portability, in the MSAs identified in the appendix to this part in compliance with the performance criteria set forth in § 52.23(a), in switches for which another carrier has made a specific request for the provision of number portability, subject to paragraph (a)(1) of this section.

(1) Any procedure to identify and request switches for deployment of number portability must comply with the following criteria:

(i) Any wireline carrier that is certified (or has applied for certification) to provide local exchange service in a state, or any licensed CMRS provider, must be permitted to make a request for deployment of number portability in that state;

(ii) For the MSAs identified in the appendix to this part, carriers must submit requests for deployment by September 30, 1998;

(iii) A cellular, broadband PCS, or covered SMR provider must make available upon request to any interested parties a list of its switches for which number portability has been requested and a list of its switches for which number portability has not been requested;

(iv) After June 30, 1999, a cellular, broadband PCS, or covered SMR provider must deploy additional switches serving the MSAs identified in the Appendix to this part upon request within the following time frames:

(A) For remote switches supported by a host switch equipped for portability

(“Equipped Remote Switches”), within 30 days;

(B) For switches that require software but not hardware changes to provide portability (“Hardware Capable Switches”), within 60 days;

(C) For switches that require hardware changes to provide portability (“Capable Switches Requiring Hardware”), within 180 days; and

(D) For switches not capable of portability that must be replaced (“Non-Capable Switches”), within 180 days.

(v) Carriers must be able to request deployment in any wireless switch that serves any area within that MSA, even if the wireless switch is outside that MSA, or outside any of the MSAs identified in the Appendix to this part.

(2) By June 30, 1999, all cellular, broadband PCS, and covered SMR providers must be able to support roaming nationwide.

(b) By December 31, 1998, all cellular, broadband PCS, and covered SMR providers must have the capability to obtain routing information, either by querying the appropriate database themselves or by making arrangements with other carriers that are capable of performing database queries, so that they can deliver calls from their networks to any party that has retained its number after switching from one telecommunications carrier to another.

(c) The Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, may waive or stay any of the dates in the implementation schedule, as the Chief determines is necessary to ensure the efficient development of number portability, for a period not to exceed 9 months (*i.e.*, no later than September 30, 1999, for the deadline in paragraph (b) of this section, and no later than March 31, 2000, for the deadline in paragraph (a) of this section).

(d) In the event a carrier subject to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section is unable to meet the Commission’s deadlines for implementing a long-term number portability method, it may file with the Commission at least 60 days in advance of the deadline a petition to extend the time by which implementation in its network will be completed. A carrier seeking such relief must demonstrate through substantial, credible